

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

MICHAEL FLEISHER

Date: August 31, 2006

Serial No. : 10/824,875

Examiner Isam A. Alsomiri

Filed : April 14, 2004

Group Art Unit 3662

For : ENHANCED SURVEILLED SUBJECT IMAGING

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

COMMUNICATION REGARDING CHANGE IN ENTITY SIZE

SafeView, Inc., assignee of the entire right, title, and interest in the above-identified patent, is now a large entity. Please note this change in fee status in the appropriate Patent Office records. If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned attorney of record. The appropriate large-entity issue and publication fee are being submitted herewith.

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC
TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically via the United States Patent & Trademark Office EFS-Web System on August 31, 2006.

/Tammy M. Yasrobi/
Tammy M. Yasrobi

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

/Edward B. Anderson/
Edward B. Anderson
Registration No. 30,154
Customer No. 23581
Attorney for Assignee
520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 224-6655
Facsimile: (503) 295-6679

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

MICHAEL FLEISHER

Date: August 31, 2006

Serial No. : 10/824,875

Examiner Isam A. Alsomiri

Filed : April 14, 2004

Group Art Unit 3662

For : ENHANCED SURVEILLED SUBJECT IMAGING

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicants received a Notice of Allowance dated June 8, 2006 on the above-identified patent application. In the Notice, the Examiner allowed claims 1-58. The Examiner also paraphrased applicants' claimed invention as part of the stated reasons for allowance. Applicants agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding the patentability of the allowed claims, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, applicants believe that the application is allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions or comments, or if applicants can be of any assistance in expediting issuance of the patent.

**CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC
SUBMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically via the United States Patent & Trademark Office EFS-Web System on August 31, 2006.

_____/Tammy M. Yasrobi/
Tammy M. Yasrobi

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

_____/Edward B. Anderson/
Edward B. Anderson
Registration No. 30,154
Customer No. 23581
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)/Assignee
520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 224-6655
Facsimile: (503) 295-6679